

What does it mean for some data (or information, or files) to be **secure**?

Think of student records (name, address, units & grades ...)

Not this kind of CIA ...



CIA

CONFIDENTIALITY



AVAILABILITY

CIA

Confidentiality: Restricted / secret data is only available to people who are permitted to see it.

Integrity: Data can only be modified or deleted by people who are permitted to do so.

Availability: People who are *permitted* to read or write data, are also *able* to do so.

Message Authentication Codes

"Internet" scenario: attackers might be able to

send a message twice

block a message

modify a message

insert messages of their own

redirect messages

Active and Passive attacks

A **passive attack** only observes data, but does not modify anything.

An **active attack** may insert, delete, change ... data.

MAC = message authentication code







Integrity

You can detect if the message received was the same one that was sent, or whether it has been modified in transit. A **MAC** (message authentication code) is a single, deterministic function M(k, m).

Without k, it should be hard to find M(k, m) for any m.

Things that are not MACs

Checksums - as used in barcodes, QR codes, ISBN numbers ... (but not bank account numbers!)

Hash functions (on their own).

None of these provide any *integrity* - an attacker can just recompute the checksum / hash of the new message.

A MAC needs a *key*.



Things that are not MACs



source: raymond.cc (comparing several file hash utilities)

CBC MAC

MAC = last block of CBC with IV = 0.



HMAC

Hash-MAC: prepend (twiddled) key and hash, then repeat.



short summary

Encryption (e.g. block cipher in CBC mode) provides *confidentiality*.

A *MAC* provides *integrity*.

What if we want both?

How not to do it



Cryptographic protocols do *exactly* what they were designed for, and no more.

Lots of mistakes happen when people combine features without understanding the implications.

How not to do it



This is called encrypt-and-MAC.

The problem is, the MAC does not need to keep anything confidential.

This is not secure - you lose confidentiality!

Combining MAC and encryption

Encrypt-then-MAC



MAC-then-Encrypt



Authenticated Encryption

Provides both confidentiality and integrity: without k, it should be hard to

- learn anything about m from c = E(k, m).
- compute E(k, m) for any m.

How do you authenticate someone?

How do you prove that you ...

are old enough to buy alcohol?

have paid to ride on a train?

are a student at the University of Bristol?

are allowed to drive a car?

are (insert your full name here)?

Identification: who is this?

Authorisation: are they allowed to do this?

Authentication: identification + authorisation

Why would a card just saying "this person is at least 18 years old" be a bad idea?

Is this a problem for train tickets? Which ones?

Traditionally: authenticate with something you

have (passport, ID card, key)

know (password)

are (biometrics)

Multi-factor authentication (often "2FA") is becoming more and more common.

passport, ID card: pyhiscal object

typically gives you much more information than you need to sell someone a bottle of beer ...

... but it would be too costly to use it to track people every time they bought something. (And they would notice.)



Online / Offline

Offline authentication: you hand out a **credential**, which can be misused / stolen / forged.

Online authentication means you also check against a **database** under your own control. online? offline REPUBLIKA HR OSOBNA ISKAZNICA DENTITY CARD UZORAK Ime/Name SPECIMEN M/M Broj osobne iskaznice Identity card number Državljanstvo HRV 01.01.1977. 123456789 Vrijedi do Date of expiry 01.07.2023

expiry date

passwords

Passwords



Top passwords (Adobe 2013 breach)

Of 153 million leaked passwords,

1

# users	password	freq.
911 938	123456	1.2%
446 162	123456789	0.3%
345 834	password	0.29%
5.9M	(in the top 100)	3.89%

Passwords

A good password is

easy for a human to remember hard for a computer to guess

Most of the time we do the exact opposite!



If passwords are not stored properly and a site gets hacked, it hardly matters how good they are.

Last.fm hack (2012): passwords were hashed (md5) but that's not good enough - passwords up to **46** characters were recovered:

Passwords

Can we ...

write them down?

reuse them for different sites?

share them with friends and family?

choose a password for a site and never change it?

Passwords

If someone knows your **university password**, they can cause you a lot of trouble.

Choose one that you've never used, and never will use anywhere else. Make it strong.

Your e-mail account(s) are the next most valuable asset to protect, as anyone who gets in can find all linked sites and usually break in through the *password reset* feature.

Password breaches

haveibeenpwned.com :

Over 5 billion breached accounts from 75'408 breaches, and counting ...

check your e-mail addresses there - if it appears assume the password for that service is compromised

Top 10 breaches			
myspace 359,420,698		MySpace accounts	
in	164,611,595	LinkedIn accounts	
	152,445,165	Adobe accounts	
VK	93,338,602	VK accounts	
tumblr.	65,469,298	tumblr accounts	
Fling	40,767,652	Fling accounts 👱	
	30,811,934	Ashley Madison accounts	
		<u> </u>	
mate1	27,393,015	Mate1.com accounts <u> </u>	
Ċ	13,545,468	000webhost accounts	
"	13,186,088	R2Games accounts	